## 1 2 3 H 4 5 6 H 7 8

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

HORIZON RIDGE 231, LLC,	)
Plaintiff,	) Case No.: 2:15-cv-0772-GMN-VCF
VS.  ELIZON MASTER PARTICIPATION TRUST I, et al.,	) ORDER ) )
Defendants.	) ) )

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States

Magistrate Judge Cam Ferenbach, (ECF No. 8), which states that this case should be remanded
to Clark County District Court.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed.

Accordingly,

## 

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 8), is
2	ADOPTED in full.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case is REMANDED to Clark County District
4	Court. The Clerk is instructed to close the case.
5	<b>DATED</b> this 24th day of September, 2015.
6	(Alle
7	Clasic M Navarra Chief Index
8	Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge United States District Court
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	